

TIME RECEIVED
February 7, 2025 at 2:47:00 PM ESTREMOTE <PageID>
+14025247555DURATION
268PAGES
5STATUS
Received

⌚ Feb 07, 2025 12:42 (UTC-07)

From: +14025247555 (C Collins)

To: +17186132446

1 of 5

C. Collins
 c.collins1600@gmail.com

January 30, 2025

Honorable I. Leo Glasser
 United States District Judge
 Eastern District of New York
 225 Cadman Plaza East
 Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: *USA v. Coppa et al.*, 00-cr-196

Dear Judge Glasser:

I would like to inform the Court of anomalies in the docket of *USA v. Coppa et al.*, 00-cr-196. Extraordinarily, a number of names and items are missing from the docket.

A comparison of older versions of the aggregate docket of all 19 defendants and the current docket as available on PACER indicates that names and items have been removed, without explanation or notation.

These redactions include the removal of:

1) the name of Defendant 1, Frank Coppa Sr., as well as his attorneys' names, the charges, and their disposition. Also missing are most of the items in the docket that refer to him.

Missing as well is the final item in Mr. Coppa's docket, a letter from me to the court requesting certain items to be unsealed (Item 556, May 18, 2021). (I did not receive a response.)

2) the name of Defendant 3, Daniel Persico, as well as his attorney's name, the charges against him, and their disposition. Also missing are the majority of items in the docket that refer to him.

3) the list of the original related cases (98-cr-1069, 98-cr-1101, 98-cr-1102, and 99-cr-545).

In other words, *USA v. Coppa et al.* is missing Frank Coppa (among other things).

These alterations apply only to the aggregate docket that includes all 19 cases of *USA v. Coppa et al.*. The separate dockets for Mr. Coppa and Mr. Persico include all relevant items as far as I can ascertain; however, the tops of their separate dockets are missing the defendants' names, lawyers' names and addresses, charges, and disposition.

For example, this is at the top of the docket for Defendant 1, Frank Coppa:

U.S. District Court
Eastern District of New York (Brooklyn)
CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:00-cr-00196-ILG-1

Case title:

Date Filed: 03/01/2000

Date Terminated: 04/23/2002

Sorry, no party found for case 1:00-cr-00196-ILG

The bulk of the redactions seem to have occurred after 2012 and before mid-2018. Specific items missing from the currently available docket of 00-cr-196 that were available in earlier iterations of the docket available in PACER include the following:

File Date	Item Number	File Date	Item Number
3/2/2000	64	1/7/2002	303
3/16/2000	9	1/25/2002	315
3/16/2000	10	2/21/2002	327
3/31/2000	35	2/26/2002	[sentencing]
4/3/2000	36	2/26/2002	328
4/3/2000	37	2/26/2002	[dismissal of counts]
5/12/2000	53	3/18/2002	352
5/22/2000	59	3/19/2002	341
5/30/2000	80	3/19/2002	346
6/28/2000	91	3/19/2002	354
10/10/2000	112	4/16/2002	363
10/20/2000	113	4/17/2002	362
11/13/2000	120	4/23/2002	364
11/29/2000	126	4/23/2002	[sentencing]
12/4/2000	134 [letter]	4/23/2002	365
12/4/2000	134 [endorsed order]	4/25/2002	[dismissal of counts]
3/8/2001	169 [letter]	5/2/2002	366
3/8/2001	[endorsed order]	5/3/2002	368
4/20/2001	187	5/6/2002	367
6/7/2001	202 [letter]	5/13/2002	369
6/7/2001	[endorsed order]	6/6/2002	379
6/28/2001	208	4/29/2003	[certified/transmitted record of appeal]
7/19/2001	212	6/9/2003	453
9/24/2001	230	6/9/2003	454
10/26/2001	258	6/26/2003	456
11/20/2001	270	8/14/2003	461
11/20/2001	271	9/10/2004	479
11/20/2001	272	9/27/2004	480
11/20/2001	273	3/15/2006	485
12/13/2001	292		
12/18/2001	293		

There is no explanation that is visible on the docket to indicate why the items have been redacted, or when. It is unclear who ordered the redactions or when.

You honor, is it possible for the Court to make the docket whole or explain why it is not?

Please docket this letter upon receipt.

Thank you very much,

C. Collins